

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/894,448	06/28/2001	Peroor K. Sebastian	IOSPAN-003 5199		
75	90 06/20/2006	EXAMINER			
MICHAEL PORKSCH			D'AGOSTA, STEPHEN M		
BLAKELY, SO	KOLOFF, TAYLOR &		D. DED MILADED		
12400 WILSHII	RE BLVD.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
7TH FLOOR		2617			
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Commencer		09/894,448	3	SEBASTIAN, PEROOR K.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Stephen M.	_	2617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINS assons of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community or to reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THI 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever lication. tory period will apply and will II, by statute, cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION nt, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 09 June 2006.						
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-9,15-24,26-30 and 34-38</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4, 10-14, 25 and 31-33</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 								
Attachmen	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ed copies not receive					
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:								

Page 2

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 6-9-2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

- 1. The applicant argues that the prior art does not reject the claims. The examiner disagrees for several reasons, a) Cudak teaches reducing the data rate of a user's channel. This can be interpreted in several ways, eg. there is a correlation between data rate and RF Spectrum in that the lower the data rate used, the less RF Spectrum is technically required (eg. a user does not require same amount of bandwidth to send a low rate signal as it does a high rate signal). 2) Mushkin specifically discloses concepts in his invention which do not teach away (as argued):
- ".. According to an aspect of some embodiments of the invention, the frequency of the operational link is changed without an interruption of the link or at least without an interruption of the transfer of payload data and without establishing a parallel auxiliary link. An aspect of some embodiments of the invention is that it is possible to implement variable rate FDMA without make-before-break, with frequency shifting only, without any frequency jumps. According to an aspect of some embodiments of the invention, the data rate, modulation rate and/or symbol timing, i.e., the bandwidth, are changed without interruption of the link or at least without an interruption of the transfer of payload data and without establishing a parallel auxiliary link. According to an aspect of some embodiments of the invention, both the frequency and the bandwidth are changed without interruption of the link or at least without an interruption of the transfer of payload data and without establishing a parallel auxiliary link...." (C4, L42-62)

Since the examiner must broadly interpret all claims put before him in a reasonable manner, it is his position that these references can be combined and interpreted (by one skilled in the art) as reading on the claims based upon the manner in which they are currently written.

2. The applicant is invited to amend the claims such that they incorporate the novel material as pointed out by the examiner, which may provide a more favorable outcome.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. D'Agosta whose telephone number is 571-272-7862. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bill Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

STEVE M. D'AGOSTA PRIMARY EXAMINER 6-14-06